

## Comments to the homework for 17/10/2019

### Problem S4: $c_0$ is a Banach space

That was an exercise for choosing parameters like in standard  $\epsilon - \delta$  arguments. For those of you still struggling with this type of proofs, I strongly recommend reading Kuba Woźnicki's homework and solution to Problem S3 ( $c$  is a Banach space) - both are available on our website. It may be also a good idea to try to solve these problems again some time later.

When one uses  $\epsilon - \delta$  arguments, one has to choose some parameters (for instance, for all  $\epsilon$  we choose some  $\delta$ ). We have to be extremely careful about possible dependence of these parameters (in this example,  $\delta$  depends on  $\epsilon$  and so, whenever one changes  $\epsilon$ ,  $\delta$  will be also different). Some people stress it by writing  $\delta(\epsilon)$ . You can see that in Kuba's homework too.

Another issues some of you have faced is dealing with notation concerning sequences of sequences. It is a good practise to explain your notation at the beginning, say,  $\{x^k\}$  is a sequence in  $c_0$  and  $x^k = (x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots)$  so that the meaning of upper and lower indices is clear.

Below I have typed a detailed proof. To be clear - I didn't expect this type of precision but I believe (after reading your solutions) that it may be helpful for some of you to see all details.

First observation is that we know that  $c$  is a Banach space with  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and  $c_0 \subset c$ . So in order to check that  $c_0$  (with norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ ) is a Banach space, it is sufficient to verify it is closed in  $c$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  norm (Problem L4 in PS1). So let  $\{x^k\} \subset c_0$  be a sequence converging to some  $x \in c$  and we have to verify that  $x \in c_0$ , i.e. that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ .

Property  $x^k \rightarrow x$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  means that  $\sup_n |x_n^k - x_n| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists K(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N} \forall k \geq K(\epsilon) \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad |x_n^k - x_n| \leq \epsilon.$$

Aiming at proving  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ , we estimate  $x_n$ . To this end, fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $k \geq K(\epsilon)$

$$|x_n| \leq |x_n^k - x_n| + |x_n^k| \leq \epsilon + |x_n^k|.$$

Again, as above inequality hold for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ <sup>1</sup> we can estimate  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n|$ :

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n| \leq \epsilon + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n^k| = \epsilon$$

as  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n^k| = 0$  since  $x^k \in c_0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (in particular: for  $k \geq K(\epsilon)$  so we can use it). Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary (it was independently chosen at the beginning), we conclude  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n| = 0$  so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$  as desired.

---

<sup>1</sup>It would be sufficient to know this for large  $n$ .

Problem S7: set  $l^1$  with  $l^\infty$  norm is not a Banach space

We have seen similar problems (for instance L5 or C4 from PS1). Most of you had good intuition that  $l^1$  with  $l^\infty$  norm is not a Banach space as the norm is not “well fitted” to the definition of the set<sup>2</sup> but not every justification was correct.

The simplest argument goes as follows. Suppose it is a Banach space. Then, every Cauchy sequence with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  norm is convergent in the set  $l^1$ . Note that convergent sequences are always Cauchy. Therefore every sequence convergent with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  norm is convergent in the set  $l^1$ . This means that if  $\|x^k - x\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $x \in l^1$ .

One can consider sequence  $x^k = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{k}, 0, 0, \dots)$ . This sequence converges in  $l^\infty$  to  $x = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k+1}, \dots)$  as

$$\|x - x^k\|_\infty \leq \sup_{n \geq k+1} \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

(alternatively: one can deduce this directly from Problem S5 from PS1 as Schauder projections approximate sequences from  $c_0$  in norm). Unfortunately,  $x \notin l^1$  and the proof is concluded.

Some of the sequences from your solutions were incorrect. Let me show an example. Consider sequence  $x^k = (1 - \frac{1}{k}, (1 - \frac{1}{k})^2, (1 - \frac{1}{k})^3, \dots)$ . It converges pointwisely (in each term separately) to  $x = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$  but not in  $l^\infty$ . Indeed,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^n \right| \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k \right| = 1 - \frac{1}{e} > 0.$$

Another issue was that some of the sequences were hard-to-analyze (at least for me) and you did not provide any details<sup>3</sup>. For instance,  $x^k = (x_n)^k = n^{-1 - \frac{1}{k+1}}$ . We want to prove that  $x^k$  converges to the harmonic sequence i.e.

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| n^{-1} - n^{-1 - \frac{1}{k+1}} \right| = 0.$$

One can consider function  $f_k(x) = x^{-1} \left(1 - x^{-\frac{1}{k+1}}\right)$  and study its derivative to see that for nonnegative arguments,  $f'_k(x) \geq 0$  if and only if

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{k+1}\right)^{k+1} \geq x.$$

Therefore, if  $x \leq e \leq 3$ ,  $|f_k(n)| \leq 3$  and this holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| n^{-1} - n^{-1 - \frac{1}{k+1}} \right| \leq \max(f_k(1), f_k(2), f_k(3)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>In the nearest future, this intuition will be rigorously stated in terms of Inverse Mapping Theorem.

<sup>3</sup>If there is some simple proof I would be happy to see that.