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## 1 Problem 1

### 1.1 Description

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space. Below are some simple exercises on orthonormal sets and basis.
(A) Let $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal set in Hilbert space $H$. Consider operator $T: H \rightarrow c_{0}$ defined with

$$
T x=\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle\right)_{n \in N} .
$$

Prove that $T$ is well-defined. Is $T$ a bounded linear operator? If yes, compute its norm.
(B) Let $H$ be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Prove that there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|x\|=1$ and $x_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$.
(C) Let $y \in l^{\infty},\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal set in $H$ and $u_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} y_{i}$. Prove that $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $H$.
(D) Let $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $H$. Use density argument to prove that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ in $H$ if and only if $\left\langle x_{n}-x, e_{\alpha}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H$.

### 1.2 Solution

(A) We already know that for fixed $x \in H$ we have $\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$, so $\frac{n}{n+1}\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow$ 0 because $\left|\frac{n}{n+1}\right| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That means $T$ is well-defined. We'll
prove that $T$ is bounded and its norm is 1 . Fix $x \in H$ such that $\|x\|=1$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and orthonormality of $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T x\| & =\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\frac{n}{n+1}\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{n}{n+1}\left|\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{n}{n+1}\|x\|\left\|e_{n}\right\| \\
& =\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{n}{n+1} \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

so $T$ is bounded. Now notice that $\left\|T e_{n}\right\|=\frac{n}{n+1}$ and $\left\|e_{n}\right\|=1$, because $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthogonal set. With the fact that $\frac{n}{n+1} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the norm of $T$ is indeed equal to 1 .
(B) Let $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $H$. As $H$ is infinite dimensional, the basis has infinitely many elements, so we can choose a countable subset $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We know that $\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in H$, but we also know that every functional in $H^{*}$ is a scalar product with some $x \in H$, so $e_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$.
(C) Let $M=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|y_{n}\right|$. Obviously $M<\infty$, as $y \in l^{\infty}$. Using orthonormality of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and linearity of scalar product we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} & =\left\langle u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} y_{i}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} y_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{M^{2}}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, so $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $H$.
(D) $(\Longrightarrow)$ Let $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$. Weakly converging sequences are bounded, so $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. We know that every functional in $H^{*}$ is a scalar product with some $x \in H$, so we have $\left\langle x_{n}, v\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in H$. Hence $\left\langle x_{n}, e_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ and we are done.
$(\Longleftarrow)$ We'll again use the fact that every functional in $H^{*}$ is a scalar
product with some $x \in H$. We'll start with proving that $\left\langle x_{n}-x, v\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ holds for all $v$ in $\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}\right)$. Let $v=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} c_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$, then

$$
\left\langle x_{n}-x, v\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{n}-x, \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} c_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} c_{\alpha}\left\langle x_{n}-x, e_{\alpha}\right\rangle,
$$

where we used the linearity of scalar product. But as $\left\langle x_{n}-x, e_{\alpha}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ and the linear combinations in $\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}\right)$ are finite,

$$
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} c_{\alpha}\left\langle x_{n}-x, e_{\alpha}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so $\left\langle x_{n}-x, v\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$. Now let $y \in H$. We know that $H=$ $\overline{\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}\right)}$, so there exists sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \operatorname{span}\left(\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}\right)$ such that $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ strongly in $H$. Now we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y\right\rangle-\left\langle x_{n}-x, y_{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{n}-x, y_{k}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y\right\rangle-\left\langle x_{n}-x, y_{k}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y_{k}\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y-y_{k}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y_{k}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|\left\|y-y_{k}\right\|+\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y_{k}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\|x\|\right)\left\|y-y_{k}\right\|+\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y_{k}\right\rangle\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, there exist $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\|x\| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$
\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y\right\rangle\right| \leq M\left\|y-y_{k}\right\|+\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y_{k}\right\rangle\right|=M\left\|y-y_{k}\right\|
$$

and by taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y\right\rangle\right| \leq M\left\|y-y_{k}\right\|
$$

Now it suffices to take $k \rightarrow \infty$ to get $\left|\left\langle x_{n}-x, y\right\rangle\right|=0$, which ends the proof.

## 2 Problem 2

### 2.1 Description

Let $\mu$ be a Gaussian measure, i.e. a measure with density $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-x^{2} / 2}$. Let $H=L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$.
(A) Let $X=\operatorname{span}\left(1, x, x^{2}\right)$. Prove that $X$ is a linear subspace of $H$.
(B) Recall Gram-Schmidt algorithm. Use it to find an orthonormal basis of $X$.
(C) Compute the distance of $f(x)=|x|$ from $X$.

### 2.2 Solution

Let us denote $g(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-x^{2} / 2}$ and let $N$ be a random variable with distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. We will use the fact that $\mathbb{E} X^{1}=\mathbb{E} X^{3}=0, \mathbb{E} X^{2}=1, \mathbb{E} X^{4}=3$, $\mathbb{E}|X|=\sqrt{2 / \pi}$ and $\mathbb{E}|X|^{3}=2 \sqrt{2 / \pi}$.
(A) Obviously $\operatorname{span}\left(1, x, x^{2}\right)$ contains 0 and is closed under addition and multiplication by scalars. Therefore, we only need to show $X \subset H$. Let us take any $v \in X$. We can write $v(x)=a+b x+c x^{2}$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. We have to show $\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x)^{2} g(x) d x<\infty$, but $v(x)^{2}=a_{0}+\ldots+a_{4} x^{4}$ for some $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x)^{2} g(x) d x=a_{0}+\ldots+a_{4} \mathbb{E} N^{4}<\infty$, as all the moments of $N$ are finite.
(B) We will find orthogonal basis $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$. First, we have:

$$
\|1\|_{H}^{2}=\langle 1,1\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) d x=1
$$

so we can denote $e_{1}=1$. Second, we can compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle 1, x\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} x g(x) d x=\mathbb{E} N=0 \\
\|x\|_{H}^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2} g(x) d x=\mathbb{E} N^{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

so we can take $e_{2}=x$. That was quite easy so far, but things get a little bit more complicated when it comes to $e_{3}$. We can compute:

$$
\left\langle 1, x^{2}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2} g(x) d x=\mathbb{E} N^{2}=1
$$

and

$$
\left\langle x, x^{2}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{3} g(x) d x=\mathbb{E} N^{3}=0
$$

so according to Gram-Schmidt algorithm we should take $e_{3}=\left(x^{2}-\right.$ 1)/ $\left\|x^{2}-1\right\|_{H}$. Clearly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{2}-1\right\|_{H}^{2}= & \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2} g(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(x^{4}-2 x^{2}+1\right) g(x) d x= \\
& =\mathbb{E} N^{4}-2 \mathbb{E} N^{2}+1=3-2+1=2
\end{aligned}
$$

so we take $e_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)$.
(C) As we have an orthonormal basis of $X$ it is easy to find the distance from $f$ to $X$. Indeed, we can express $f$ as a sum of its projections on $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ and the part which is orthogonal to $X$. To compute the norm of the orthogonal part we can use the Pythagorean theorem. Clearly, this norm is the distance we need as $X$ is convex and closed. To sum up:

$$
\operatorname{dist}(f, X)^{2}=\|f\|_{H}^{2}-\left\langle f, e_{1}\right\rangle^{2}-\left\langle f, e_{2}\right\rangle^{2}-\left\langle f, e_{3}\right\rangle^{2}
$$

Now step by step:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|f\|_{H}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^{2} g(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2} g(x) d x=\mathbb{E} N^{2}=1 \\
\langle f, 1\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x| g(x) d x=\mathbb{E}|N|=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \\
\langle f, x\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x| x g(x) d x=0
\end{gathered}
$$

(because $|x| x g(x)$ is odd function and its absolute value is bounded by $\left.x^{2} g(x)\right)$

$$
\left\langle f, x^{2}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x| x^{2} g(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^{3} g(x) d x=\mathbb{E}|N|^{3}=2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}
$$

and finally:

$$
\langle | x\left|, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\langle | x\left|, x^{2}\right\rangle-\langle | x|, 1\rangle\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}
$$

Now we can write:

$$
\operatorname{dist}(f, X)=\sqrt{1-\frac{2}{\pi}-\frac{1}{\pi}}=\sqrt{1-\frac{3}{\pi}} \approx 0.21
$$

and our job is finished.

