

# Hyperbolic Conservation Laws Tutorial

Topic 5: Comments on Kruzkhov  
doubling variables method.

Kuba Skrzekowski.

## Topic 5: Comments on Kruzkhov doubling variables method.

[We work here with solutions s.t.  $u \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1_{loc}$ ,  $u_0 \in L^1$ ].

Recall that to prove uniqueness of entropy solutions we take two solutions  $u(t, x)$ ,  $v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})$ . Both satisfy entropy inequality

$$\partial_t \eta(u(t, x)) + \operatorname{div} Q(u(t, x)) \leq 0.$$

We use Kruzkhov entropies:  $\eta(u, v) = |u - v|$  with flux  $Q(u, v) = \operatorname{sgn}(u - v) (f(u) - f(v))$ . Then

$$\partial_t \eta(u(t, x), v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})) + \operatorname{div}_x Q(u(t, x), v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})) \leq 0$$

$$\partial_{\tilde{t}} \eta(u(t, x), v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})) + \operatorname{div}_{\tilde{x}} Q(u(t, x), v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})) \leq 0$$

We test both of them with  $\phi(t, x, \tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) \geq 0$ .

$$(1) \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\phi_t \eta(u, v) + \nabla_x \phi Q(u, v)] dt dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(0, x, \tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) \eta(u_0, v) dx \geq 0$$

$$(2) \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \phi_{\tilde{t}} \eta(u, v) + \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \phi \cdot Q(u, v) \right] d\tilde{x} d\tilde{t} \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t, x, 0, \tilde{x}) \eta(u, v_0) d\tilde{x} \geq 0.$$

Integrate both in  $x$  and  $t$ . Add together to get

$$\iiint (\partial_t + \partial_{\tilde{t}}) \phi \eta(u, v) + (\nabla_x + \nabla_{\tilde{x}}) \phi \cdot Q(u, v) dx d\tilde{x} dt d\tilde{t} \\ + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(0, x, \tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) \eta(u_0(x), v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})) dx d\tilde{x} d\tilde{t} \\ + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t, x, 0, \tilde{x}) \eta(u(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}), v_0(x)) dx d\tilde{x} dt \geq 0$$

Now, we need to clean doubled variables. Consider

$$\phi(t, x, \tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = \Psi\left(\frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2}\right) \chi_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x-\tilde{x}}{2}\right) \chi_\varepsilon\left(\frac{t-\tilde{t}}{2}\right)$$

and we expect in the limit (when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ )  $t = \tilde{t}$ ,  $x = \tilde{x}$ .

Moreover, if  $\Psi$  is supported in the time avg. for  $\frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \geq \varepsilon_0$

and  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0/4$  we have  $\begin{cases} \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \geq \varepsilon \\ |t-\tilde{t}| < 2\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0/2 \end{cases}$ . Hence, when

When  $t=0$ , there are no  $\tilde{t}$  s.t.  $\phi(0, x, \tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) \neq 0$ . Similarly, for  $\tilde{t}=0$ . Hence, pink terms in the integral identity above can be removed.

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint \left( \partial_t + \partial_{\tilde{t}} \right) \left[ \Psi \left( \frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \right]_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{t-\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \left[ \right]_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{x-\tilde{x}}{2} \right) \eta(u(t,x), v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})) \\ & + \iiint \left( \nabla_x + \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \right) \left[ \Psi \left( \frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \right]_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{t-\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \left[ \right]_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{x-\tilde{x}}{2} \right) Q(u(t,x), v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})) \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

**TROUBLES:** Differentiation of mollifier.

We want to send  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We introduce new variables

$$\begin{cases} y = \frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2} \\ \tilde{y} = \frac{x-\tilde{x}}{2} \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} s = \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \\ \tilde{s} = \frac{t-\tilde{t}}{2} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x = y + \tilde{y} \\ \tilde{x} = y - \tilde{y} \\ t = s + \tilde{s} \\ \tilde{t} = s - \tilde{s} \end{cases}$$

Term with time derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \partial_t + \partial_{\tilde{t}} \right) \left[ \Psi \left( \frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \right]_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{t-\tilde{t}}{2} \right) = \\ & = 2 \cdot \Psi_t \left( \frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[ \right]_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{t-\tilde{t}}{2} \right) + \Psi \left( \frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \left[ \left[ \right]_{\varepsilon}' - \left[ \right]_{\varepsilon}' \right] \\ & = \Psi_t \left( \frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \left[ \right]_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{t-\tilde{t}}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

After changing variables (I don't need to worry about jacobian as it is constant and we consider inequality  $\geq 0$ ).

$$\iiint \Psi_{\varepsilon}(y, s) \zeta_{\varepsilon}(y') \zeta_{\varepsilon}(s') \eta(u(s+\tilde{s}, y+\tilde{y}), v(s-\tilde{s}, y-\tilde{y}))$$

**LEMMA 1.**  $\zeta_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{*} \delta_0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

PROOF. We need to check that for all  $\Psi \in C_0$

$$\int \Psi(x) \zeta_{\varepsilon}(x) dx \rightarrow \int \Psi(x) d\delta_0(x) = \Psi(0)$$

This is always the same:  $(\int \zeta_{\varepsilon} = 1)$

$$\left| \int \Psi(x) \zeta_{\varepsilon}(x) dx - \Psi(0) \right| = \left| \int (\Psi(x) - \Psi(0)) \zeta_{\varepsilon}(x) dx \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \int |\Psi(x) - \Psi(0)| \zeta_{\varepsilon}(x) dx \text{ and use uniform cont.}$$

□

**LEMMA 2.** If  $f \in L^1_{loc}$ ,  $\omega$ -modulus of continuity and  $\Psi$  smooth compactly supported

$$\iint \Psi(x) f(x + \omega(y)) \eta_{\varepsilon}(y) dy dx \rightarrow \int \Psi(x) f(x) dx$$

PROOF:  $\left| \iint \Psi(x) [f(x + \omega(y)) - f(x)] \eta_{\varepsilon}(y) dy dx \right|$

difference  $|(x + \omega(y)) - x| \leq \omega(\varepsilon)$ .

so this converges to 0 when  $f$  is assumed to be in  $C_c$ .

For general  $f$ , as always, fix  $\delta > 0$  and find  $f_\delta$  s.t.

$$\|f_\delta - f\|_{L^1(\text{supp } \Psi)} \leq \delta.$$

hull with diameter 1.  
( $\varepsilon < 1$ )

As always:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint \Psi(x) (f(x+\omega(y)) - f(x)) \eta_\varepsilon(y) dx dy \right| \leq \\ & \leq \left| \iint \Psi(x) (f(x+\omega(y)) - f_\delta(x+\omega(y))) \eta_\varepsilon(y) dx dy \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \iint \Psi(x) (f(x) - f_\delta(x)) \eta_\varepsilon(y) dx dy \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \iint \Psi(x) (f_\delta(x+\omega(y)) - f_\delta(x)) \eta_\varepsilon(y) dx dy \right| \\ & \leq 2\delta \|\Psi\|_\infty + \left| \iint \Psi(x) (f_\delta(x+\omega(y)) - f_\delta(x)) \eta_\varepsilon(y) dx dy \right| \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \iint \Psi(x) (f(x+\omega(y)) - f(x)) \eta_\varepsilon(y) dx dy \right| & \leq \\ & \leq 2\delta \|\Psi\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. If  $f \in L^1_{loc}$ ,  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  some modulus of continuity and  $\Psi$  compactly supported

$$\iint \Psi(x) f(x_1 \pm \omega_1(y), x_2 \pm \omega_2(y), \dots, x_n \pm \omega_n(y)) \eta_\varepsilon(y) dy dx$$

$$\rightarrow \int \Psi(x) f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) dx$$

PROOF: If  $f$  is  $C_c$ , the difference of arguments in

$$|f(x_1 \pm \omega_1(y), x_2 \pm \omega_2(y), \dots, x_n \pm \omega_n(y)) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|$$

is controlled by  $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \omega_i(\varepsilon)$ . We conclude as above.  $\square$

Coming back to the term with time derivative.

$$\iiint \Psi_t(y, s) \zeta_\varepsilon(y') \zeta_\varepsilon(s') \eta(u(s, \tilde{y}), v(s, \tilde{y}))$$

Note that  $\eta$  is Lipschitz continuous so  $\eta(u(\dots), v(\dots))$  is  $L^1_{loc}$  and we can use convergence lemma above for  $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{y})$  to get in the limit:

$$\iint \Psi_t(y, s) \eta(u(s, y), v(s, y)) dy ds.$$

Term with spatial gradient:

$$\iiint (\nabla_x + \nabla_{\tilde{x}}) \left[ \Psi\left(\frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2}\right) \zeta_\varepsilon\left(\frac{t-\tilde{t}}{2}\right) \zeta_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x-\tilde{x}}{2}\right) \right] Q(u(t, x), v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}))$$

We compute gradient:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_x + \nabla_{\tilde{x}}) \left[ \Psi\left(\frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2}\right) \zeta_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x-\tilde{x}}{2}\right) \right] = \\ & = 2(\nabla_x \Psi)\left(\frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2} \zeta_\varepsilon\left(\frac{x-\tilde{x}}{2}\right) + 0 = \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\nabla_x \Psi) \left( \frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \Big|_{\xi} \left( \frac{x-\tilde{x}}{2} \right).$$

Therefore, this term reads

$$\iiint (\nabla_x \Psi) \left( \frac{x+\tilde{x}}{2}, \frac{t+\tilde{t}}{2} \right) \Big|_{\xi} \left( \frac{x-\tilde{x}}{2} \right) \Big|_{\xi} \left( \frac{t-\tilde{t}}{2} \right) Q(u(t,x), v(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}))$$

Again, we change variables and we don't care about jacobian:

$$\iiint (\nabla_x \Psi)(y,s) \Big|_{\xi}(\tilde{y}) \Big|_{\xi}(\tilde{s}) Q(u(s+\tilde{s}, y+\tilde{y}), v(s-\tilde{s}, y-\tilde{y}))$$

and we use Lemma above to get in the limit  $\xi \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\iint (\nabla_x \Psi)(y,s) Q(u(s,y), v(s,y))$$

Hence,

$$\iint \Psi_{\xi}(t,x) \eta(u(t,x), v(t,x)) dt dx + \\ + \iint \nabla \Psi(t,x) Q(u(t,x), v(t,x)) dt dx \geq 0$$

