

How fast can the fixpoints in modal μ calculus be reached?

Marek Czarnecki

Department of Philosophy and Sociology
University of Warsaw

Department of Mathematics, Computer Science and Mechanics
University of Warsaw

Brno, August 21st 2010



1 Basics

- The very basics
- The main concept

2 Fast and slow formulae

- Some formulae never fix
- Some formulae always reach their fixpoints fast

3 Can we control the number of iterations for ω and above?

- Formulae with fuses
- How do fuses work?

4 What next?



The very basics – syntax

Modal μ syntax

$$\varphi \rightarrow \top \mid p \mid x \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \diamond\varphi \mid \mu x.\varphi$$

p is a propositional letter (*Prop*), x is an individual variable (*Var*) and construction $\mu x.\varphi$ is allowed when every occurrence of x in φ is in range of even number of negations.



The very basics – syntax

Modal μ syntax

$$\varphi \longrightarrow \top \mid p \mid x \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \diamond\varphi \mid \mu x.\varphi$$

p is a propositional letter (*Prop*), x is an individual variable (*Var*) and construction $\mu x.\varphi$ is allowed when every occurrence of x in φ is in range of even number of negations.

Normal form

Set $\perp = \neg\top$, $\varphi \wedge \psi = \neg(\neg\varphi \vee \neg\psi)$,

$\Box\varphi = \neg\diamond\neg\varphi$ and $\nu x.\varphi = \neg\mu x.\neg\varphi[x := \neg x]$.

Thus we obtain a normal form for μ formulae by pushing negations as deep as it is possible. We get:

$$\varphi \longrightarrow \perp \mid \top \mid p \mid \neg p \mid x \mid \neg x \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \diamond\varphi \mid \Box\varphi \mid \mu x.\varphi \mid \nu x.\varphi$$

Where $\mu x.\varphi$ and $\nu x.\varphi$ are allowed when there are no $\neg x$ in φ .



The very basics – semantics

Kripke models

$\mathcal{M} = (M, R, V)$ is a Kripke model when (M, R) is a graph and $V : Prop \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(M)$ assigns values to propositional letters.

A valuation (assignment) $\tau : Var \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(M)$ assigns values to individual variables.

We define $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}, \tau}$ – a subset of M of points in which φ is true in a usual way. Recall that:

$$\llbracket \mu x. \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}, \tau} = \bigcap \{ A \subseteq M : \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}, \tau[x:=A]} \subseteq A \}$$

where $\tau[x := A](x) = A$ and $\tau[x := A](y) = \tau(y)$ for $y \neq x$.



The very basics – fixpoints

Finding fixpoints

Fix φ , x , \mathcal{M} and τ . There is α such that

$$\llbracket \mu x. \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}, \tau} = \varphi_x^\alpha(\emptyset)$$

where $\varphi_x^0(A) = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}, \tau[x:=A]}$, $\varphi_x^{\beta+1}(A) = \varphi_x(\varphi_x^\beta(A))$, and for limit ordinals λ : $\varphi_x^\lambda(A) = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} \varphi_x^\beta(A)$.

1 Basics

- The very basics
- The main concept

2 Fast and slow formulae

- Some formulae never fix
- Some formulae always reach their fixpoints fast

3 Can we control the number of iterations for ω and above?

- Formulae with fuses
- How do fuses work?

4 What next?



Definition

We say that a modal μ -formula φ **fixes after α steps in x** when α is the least ordinal number such that for all \mathcal{M} and τ ,

$$\llbracket \mu X. \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}, \tau} = \varphi_x^\alpha(\emptyset)$$

We denote it by $\mathcal{O}_x(\varphi) = \alpha$.

Definition

We say that a modal μ -formula φ **fixes after α steps in x** when α is the least ordinal number such that for all \mathcal{M} and τ ,

$$\llbracket \mu x. \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}, \tau} = \varphi_x^\alpha(\emptyset)$$

We denote it by $\mathcal{O}_x(\varphi) = \alpha$.

What is it all about?

We investigate after which ordinal numbers of steps modal μ formulae may fix.



Examples

Examples:

$$\mathcal{O}_x(\diamond x \vee p) = \omega$$

$$\mathcal{O}_x(x) = 0$$



1 Basics

- The very basics
- The main concept

2 Fast and slow formulae

- Some formulae never fix
- Some formulae always reach their fixpoints fast

3 Can we control the number of iterations for ω and above?

- Formulae with fuses
- How do fuses work?

4 What next?



An example

Fact

$\mathcal{O}_X(\Box X)$ is undefined i.e. $\Box X$ goes forever.



An example (2)

Proof:

We show that there are models in which $\Box x$ needs more than any given number of steps to fix.

We use an assignment of trees to ordinal numbers. **To 0** we assign just a single point – a root. **For $\alpha + 1$** we construct the tree by taking a new point to be the root and attaching to it the root of the tree for α . **For limit ordinals λ** we construct the tree by taking a new point to be the root and attach to it all the roots of trees constructed before $\alpha < \lambda$. It is easy to see that in a tree assigned to λ formula $\Box x$ fixes after $\lambda + 1$ steps – thus $\mathcal{O}_x(\Box x)$ is undefined.



1 Basics

- The very basics
- The main concept

2 Fast and slow formulae

- Some formulae never fix
- **Some formulae always reach their fixpoints fast**

3 Can we control the number of iterations for ω and above?

- Formulae with fuses
- How do fuses work?

4 What next?



Examples for $\alpha < \omega$

Fact

Let $\varphi_n = \Box X \wedge \Box^{n+1} \perp$.

Then $\mathcal{O}_X(\varphi_n) = n$.



Examples for $\alpha < \omega$

Fact

Let $\varphi_n = \Box X \wedge \Box^{n+1} \perp$.

Then $\mathcal{O}_X(\varphi_n) = n$.

Fact

For $k \leq l$,

$$\Box^k \perp \wedge \Box^l \perp \equiv \Box^k (\Box^{l-k} \perp \wedge \perp) \equiv \Box^k \perp$$

and

$$\Box^k \perp \vee \Box^l \perp \equiv \Box^l \perp$$

Examples for $\alpha < \omega$ (2)

Fact

Let $\varphi_n = \Box X \wedge \Box^{n+1} \perp$.

Then $\mathcal{O}_X(\Box X \wedge \Box^{n+1} \perp) = n$.

Proof:

Fix $n \in \omega$, a model and a valuation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^{n+1}(\emptyset) &= \llbracket \bigvee_{i=0}^{n+1} (\Box^{i+1} \perp \wedge \Box^{n+1} \perp) \rrbracket = \llbracket \Box^{n+1} \perp \wedge \bigvee_{i=0}^{n+1} \Box^{i+1} \perp \rrbracket = \\ &\llbracket \Box^{n+1} \perp \wedge \Box^{n+2} \perp \rrbracket = \llbracket \Box^{n+1} \perp \rrbracket = \varphi_n^n(\emptyset). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the upper bound for number of iterations of φ_n . For the lower bound consider models assigned to finite ordinals.

1 Basics

- The very basics
- The main concept

2 Fast and slow formulae

- Some formulae never fix
- Some formulae always reach their fixpoints fast

3 Can we control the number of iterations for ω and above?

- Formulae with fuses
- How do fuses work?

4 What next?



Inspiration

Inspiration

Our investigation is motivated by a question asked by Damian Niwiński whether there exists a formula which fixes after $\omega + 1$ steps and, in a broader sense, whether it is possible to control the number of iterations of formulae above ω .

Mikołaj Bojańczyk's conjecture

The formula $(\diamond x \wedge \square p_1 \wedge p_1) \vee (\square x \wedge \square p_1 \wedge \neg p_1) \vee \square \perp$ fixes in $\omega + 1$ steps.

The main result

Mikołaj Bojańczyk conjecture is true. We generalize this result showing formulae that fix in α steps for all $\alpha < \omega^2$.



The formulae

Sets of fuses

For $n > 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$ let $C_i^n = \neg p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \neg p_i \wedge p_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge p_n$.



The formulae

Sets of fuses

For $n > 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$ let $C_i^n = \neg p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \neg p_i \wedge p_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge p_n$.

The formulae



$$\psi_{\omega \cdot n} = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} (\Diamond x \wedge C_i^n \wedge \Box C_i^n) \vee \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-2} (\Box x \wedge C_{i+1}^n \wedge \Box C_i^n)$$

The formulae

Sets of fuses

For $n > 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$ let $C_i^n = \neg p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \neg p_i \wedge p_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge p_n$.

The formulae



$$\psi_{\omega \cdot n} = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} (\diamond x \wedge C_i^n \wedge \square C_i^n) \vee \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-2} (\square x \wedge C_{i+1}^n \wedge \square C_i^n)$$



$$\psi_{\omega \cdot n+m} = \psi_{\omega \cdot n} \vee \bigvee_{i=0}^{m-1} (\square x \wedge \bigwedge_{j=0}^i \square^j C_n^n \wedge \square^{i+1} C_{n-1}^n)$$

The formulae

Sets of fuses

For $n > 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$ let $C_i^n = \neg p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \neg p_i \wedge p_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge p_n$.

The formulae

- $$\psi_{\omega \cdot n} = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} (\diamond x \wedge C_i^n \wedge \square C_i^n) \vee \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-2} (\square x \wedge C_{i+1}^n \wedge \square C_i^n)$$

- $$\psi_{\omega \cdot n+m} = \psi_{\omega \cdot n} \vee \bigvee_{i=0}^{m-1} (\square x \wedge \bigwedge_{j=0}^i \square^j C_n^n \wedge \square^{i+1} C_{n-1}^n)$$

- $$\varphi_{\omega \cdot n+m} = \psi_{\omega \cdot n+m} \vee \square \perp$$

The main lemma

Lemma

Let $k > 0$, $\omega \cdot k \leq \alpha < \omega^2$. Then

$$(a \models p_k \wedge a \in \llbracket \mu x. \varphi_\alpha \rrbracket) \Rightarrow a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot k}(\emptyset)$$

The main lemma (2)

Proof...:

Fix $\mathcal{M} = (M, R, V)$, τ and $a \in M$. There exists β such that $a \in \varphi_\alpha^\beta(\emptyset)$. We proceed by induction on β .

The base step and limit steps are trivial.

We need to show that

$$\forall k > 0 \forall \omega \cdot k \leq \alpha < \omega^2 [(a \models p_k \wedge a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\gamma+1}(\emptyset)) \Rightarrow a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot k}(\emptyset)].$$

Fix $k > 0$ and let $\alpha = \omega \cdot n + m$, for $n \geq k$ and $m \in \omega$. Let us assume that $a \models p_k$ and $a \in \varphi_\alpha^\beta(\emptyset)$. Since $\beta = \gamma + 1$ we have $a \in \varphi_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha^\gamma(\emptyset))$. By the definition of φ_α , one of the following cases must hold:



The main lemma (3)

...Proof...:

- $a \models \Box \perp$ – then $a \in \varphi_\alpha^0(\emptyset) \subseteq \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot k}(\emptyset)$,
- $a \models C_l^n \wedge \Box C_l^n$ for some $l < k$ – since $a \models p_k$, and there exists t such that aRt and $t \in \varphi_\alpha^\gamma(\emptyset)$. Therefore $t \models C_l^n$ which implies $t \models p_{l+1}$. By the induction hypothesis, since $t \in \varphi_\alpha^\gamma(\emptyset)$ and $t \models p_{l+1}$, we know that $t \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot (l+1)}(\emptyset)$. Because $\omega \cdot (l+1)$ is a limit ordinal, there exists $s \in \omega$ such that $t \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot l + s}(\emptyset)$. Therefore $a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot l + s + 1}(\emptyset) \subseteq \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot (l+1)}(\emptyset) \subseteq \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot k}(\emptyset)$,

The main lemma (4)

...Proof:

- $a \models C_{l+1}^n \wedge \Box C_l^n$ for some $l < k - 1$ – since $a \models p_k$, and for all t if aRt , then $t \in \varphi_\alpha^\gamma(\emptyset)$. Fix such t , then $t \models C_l^n$ and therefore $t \models p_{l+1}$, so by the induction hypothesis $t \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot (l+1)}(\emptyset)$. Thus $a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot (l+1)+1}(\emptyset) \subseteq \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot k}(\emptyset)$ since $l < k - 1$,
- In other cases, namely:
 $a \models \bigvee_{i=0}^{m-1} (\Box x \wedge \bigwedge_{j=0}^i \Box^j C_n^n \wedge \Box^{i+1} C_{n-1}^n)$, $a \models C_n^n$ which means $a \models \neg p_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, but this is a contradiction since $k \leq n$ and we assumed that $a \models p_k$.

1 Basics

- The very basics
- The main concept

2 Fast and slow formulae

- Some formulae never fix
- Some formulae always reach their fixpoints fast

3 Can we control the number of iterations for ω and above?

- Formulae with fuses
- How do fuses work?

4 What next?



Corollaries of the main lemma

Global view on fuses:

If a point in which p_i is true is in the least fixpoint of φ_α , it has to be added to it fast, that is after at most $\omega \cdot i$ steps. After that number of iterations the *fuse* p_i melts and no more points in which p_i is true may be added to the fixpoint.



Corollaries of the main lemma (2)

Local view on fuses – an example:

Let us consider the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\omega \cdot 2+3} = & (\diamond X \wedge C_0^2 \wedge \square C_0^2) \vee (\diamond X \wedge C_1^2 \wedge \square C_1^2) \vee (\square X \wedge C_1^2 \wedge \square C_0^2) \vee \\ & \vee (\square X \wedge C_2^2 \wedge \square C_1^2) \vee (\square X \wedge C_2^2 \wedge \square C_2^2 \wedge \square^2 C_1^2) \vee \\ & \vee (\square X \wedge C_2^2 \wedge \square C_2^2 \wedge \square^2 C_2^2 \wedge \square^3 C_1^2) \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $C_0^2 = p_1 \wedge p_2$, $C_1^2 = \neg p_1 \wedge p_2$ and $C_2^2 = \neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2$.



Corollaries of the main lemma (3)

Local view on fuses – an example (2):

Example.....



The main theorem

Theorem:

For every $\alpha < \omega^2$: φ_α fixes after α steps.

Proof...:

If there exists $i \leq n$ such that $a \models p_i$, then, by lemma we know that $a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot i}(\emptyset) \subseteq \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot n + m}(\emptyset) = \varphi_\alpha^\alpha(\emptyset)$, since $a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\alpha+1}(\emptyset)$.

Let us now assume that for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $a \models \neg p_i$ holds. Since $a \in \varphi_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha^\alpha(\emptyset))$, then by the definition of φ_α , $m > 0$ and one of the following cases must hold:

- $a \models \Box \perp$ – then trivially $a \in \varphi_\alpha^\alpha(\emptyset)$.

The main theorem (2)

...Proof...:

- $a \models \bigwedge_{j=0}^i \Box^j C_n^n \wedge \Box^{i+1} C_{n-1}^n$, for some $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ and for every t such that aRt , $t \in \varphi_\alpha^\alpha(\emptyset)$.

We proceed by induction on i to show that if

$a \models \bigwedge_{j=0}^i \Box^j C_n^n \wedge \Box^{i+1} C_{n-1}^n$, then $a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot n + i + 1}(\emptyset)$.

For the base step let us assume that $i = 0$. Then for all t such that aRt , $t \models C_{n-1}^n$ holds. Therefore $t \models p_n$ and by the main lemma, $t \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot n}(\emptyset)$. Thus $a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot n + 1}(\emptyset)$.

Suppose now that for $0 \leq i < k \leq m$ if $a \models \bigwedge_{j=0}^i \Box^j C_n^n \wedge \Box^{i+1} C_{n-1}^n$, then $a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot n + i + 1}(\emptyset)$. We show that for $i = k$ this implication holds as well. Suppose that $a \models \bigwedge_{j=0}^k \Box^j C_n^n \wedge \Box^{k+1} C_{n-1}^n$, then for every t such that aRt , $t \models \bigwedge_{j=0}^{k-1} \Box^j C_n^n \wedge \Box^k C_{n-1}^n$ holds. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis $t \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot n + k}(\emptyset)$, and thus $a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot n + k + 1}(\emptyset)$. Hence, for every such case $a \in \varphi_\alpha^{\omega \cdot n + m}(\emptyset) = \varphi_\alpha^\alpha(\emptyset)$.



The main theorem (3)

...Proof...:

- In other cases, namely when

$a \models \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} (\diamond x \wedge C_i^n \wedge \Box C_i^n) \vee \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-2} (\Box x \wedge C_{i+1}^n \wedge \Box C_i^n)$ also
 $a \models C_i^{n+1}$ holds, for some $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. This means that
 $a \models p_n$ which is a contradiction, since we assumed that
 $a \models \neg p_n$.

This shows that

$$\varphi_\alpha^{\alpha+1}(\emptyset) = \varphi_\alpha^\alpha(\emptyset)$$



The main theorem (4)

...Proof:

For $\alpha < \omega^2$ we can construct models in which φ_α fixes after α steps.



Open (?) questions

- Are there basic modal formulae that fix after ω^2 or more steps?



Open (?) questions

- Are there basic modal formulae that fix after ω^2 or more steps?
- Are there μ -formulae that fix after ω^2 or more steps?



Open (?) questions

- Are there basic modal formulae that fix after ω^2 or more steps?
- Are there μ -formulae that fix after ω^2 or more steps?
- Is there a formula that behaves as an ω -counter (at least in big enough models), that allows us to count uses of \square up to ω ?



Open (?) questions

- Are there basic modal formulae that fix after ω^2 or more steps?
- Are there μ -formulae that fix after ω^2 or more steps?
- Is there a formula that behaves as an ω -counter (at least in big enough models), that allows us to count uses of \square up to ω ?
- Is it decidable whether $\mathcal{O}_x(\varphi)$ is defined, given φ ?





A. Arnold and D. Niwiński.

Rudiments of μ -Calculus.

Studies in Logic, Vol 146, North-Holland 2001.



G. Fontaine.

Continuous fragment of the μ -calculus.

Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume

5213/2008:139–153.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

